

The Second International Dakar Agricultural Forum: Learning From The Past, Looking To The Future



DR. DARYLL E. RAY
Agricultural Economist
University of Tennessee



DR. HARWOOD D. SCHAFFER
Research Assistant Professor at
APAC, University of Tennessee

The high and volatile agricultural commodity prices of 2007/2008 and 2010/2011 have given crop farmers relief from years of low and often below-the-cost-of-production prices, but they also have resulted in food riots around the world and a major jump in the number of persons experiencing chronic hunger – from approximately 850 million people to over 1 billion people. By far, the most devastating impact has been felt in developing countries, particularly the least developed among them.

As a result, President Abdoulaye Wade, Senegal, took the initiative of organizing the second edition of the International Dakar Agricultural Forum – called Dakar Agricole 2011 – on Monday and Tuesday, April 18-19, 2011 in Dakar. This meeting, scheduled two months before the G20 agricultural meetings, included heads of state, ministers, farmers and experts from across the globe to discuss the issues surrounding agricultural regulation and global agricultural governance.

The event was organized in collaboration with the French think-tank, MOMAGRI (Mouvement pour une Organisation Mondiale de l'Agriculture), to answer fundamental questions currently faced by the international community:

- Under which principles can we regulate agricultural markets to prevent food crises and avoid repeated agricultural crises?
- What instruments and international cooperation should be put to use to improve food security and fight poverty?
- On what grounds can we create a new global governance that will make agriculture, food and environmental preservation top priorities?

It is hoped that the conclusions that emerge from this Forum will form an essential pillar for international negotiations during the G20 summit chaired by France. Dakar Agricole 2011 also provides a means of strengthening “the role and the voice of the countries of the South in global governance.”

One of the duo that writes this column, Harwood, had the opportunity to attend the meeting and make a presentation at the Tuesday morning plenary session. In this column we share a discussion that Harwood had during his time at Dakar Agricole 2011. In future columns we will discuss the 2011 Dakar Declaration that came out of the meeting.

Dr. Aliou Diagne, an economist and Program Leader with the Africa Rice Center in Cotonou, Benin, talked about some of the policies advo-

cated by the World Bank (WB) and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) that made the recent problems worse than they otherwise would have been. He contends that one in particular, export-oriented agriculture, had been clearly devastating as the result of the two recent price peaks in grains.

He spoke of countries that had historically produced 80 percent or more of the staple foods that they consumed. These countries had been able to maintain those levels through government programs that supported small producers.

As a part of their development program, the WB and the IMF advocated that the countries refocus their agriculture toward the support of higher-priced crops where they had a comparative advantage and could generate foreign currency. The reasoning was that these countries should concentrate on growing crops like bananas, cacao, coffee, cotton, and flowers and leave the production of grains to developed countries where the yield was higher and the cost of production was lower. Developing countries could then use their earnings to purchase more grain at a lower price than they could produce themselves. As a result of these policies, countries that were nearly self-sufficient in grain ended up importing most of the grain eaten by their people.

This makes common sense. And it is a classic textbook-like-economist's policy recommendation based on comparative advantage/ trade theory that all economists can recite in their sleep. But when applied to food and agriculture, well, problems arise.

First, in most developing countries, millions of farmers produce the major grains and other staples that are the foundation of the diets of the farmers' own families and those of their city cousins. When shifted to export crops, those who receive the major financial benefits from producing the export crops are not necessarily the ones who till the soil and who no longer receive government support like access to extension personnel. As a result they become dependent upon feeding programs instead of growing most of their own food.

Second, when a country produces 80 percent of its staple foods, a sharp rise in prices results in a relatively small increase in the cost of importing the balance needed. On the other hand, when a country imports 50 to 80 percent of its food needs and prices double or triple, suddenly they face a monumental crisis – adequate food imports become unaffordable.

Diagne suggested that, as a matter of food security for its population, developing countries should strive to produce at least 80 percent of their basic foodstuffs. They don't need to become fully self-sufficient in the production of staples to ensure food security. When the basic imports of food are relatively small, even with a price shock like we have seen, the costs are more manageable for developing countries. △

DR. DARYLL E. RAY: Blasingame Chair of Excellence in Agricultural Policy, Institute of Agriculture, University of Tennessee

DR. HARWOOD D. SCHAFFER: Research Assistant Professor at APAC, University of Tennessee